



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	HB0585	<b>Title:</b>	Implement PL/PW recommendations: "Come Home to Hunt" pilot project
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	McClafferty, Edith (Edie)	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

	<b><u>FY 2010 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2011 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2012 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2013 Difference</u></b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance:</b>	<u><u>\$0</u></u>	<u><u>\$0</u></u>	<u><u>\$0</u></u>	<u><u>\$0</u></u>

### **Description of fiscal impact**

The sale of additional nonresident B-10 and B-11 licenses will result in increased revenue and corresponding expenditures.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Assumptions:**

1. The nonresident B-10 license includes Conservation and Fishing, Upland Game Bird excluding turkey, Elk, and Deer A for a fee of \$628 plus a drawing fee of \$5 and a Hunting Access Enhancement Fee of \$10 for a total of \$643.
2. The nonresident B-11 license includes Conservation and Fishing, Upland Game Bird excluding turkey, and Deer A for a fee of \$328 plus a drawing fee of \$5 and a Hunting Access Enhancement Fee of \$10 for a total of \$343.
3. 500 of each license will be offered for sale and all will be sold.
4. The increase in revenue will be \$493,000 annually. ( $\$643 \times 500 = \$321,500 + \$343 \times 500 = \$171,500$ )
5. There would be no reduction in current nonresident licenses sold.

6. The revenues would be used by FWP to acquire public hunting access to inaccessible public land as described in HB 585.

	<b><u>FY 2010 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2011 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2012 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2013 Difference</u></b>
<b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b>				
<b><u>Expenditures:</u></b>				
Operating Expenses	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000
<b>TOTAL Expenditures</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000
<b>TOTAL Funding of Exp.</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>
<b><u>Revenues:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$493,000
<b>TOTAL Revenues</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>	<b>\$493,000</b>
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

---

*Sponsor's Initials*


---

*Date*


---

*Budget Director's Initials*


---

*Date*



## Dedication of Revenue 2011 Biennium

**17-1-507-509, MCA.**

- a) **Are there persons or entities that benefit from this dedicated revenue that do not pay? (please explain)**  
Yes, all hunters will benefit from increased hunting access to previously inaccessible public land.
- b) **What special information or other advantages exist as a result of using a state special revenue fund that could not be obtained if the revenue were allocated to the general fund?**  
The revenue from the sale of the nonresident combination licenses is earmarked for a specific purpose. To properly account for the revenue, a separate special revenue fund is needed.
- c) **Is the source of revenue relevant to current use of the funds and adequate to fund the program activity that is intended? Yes / No (if no, explain)**  
Yes. If not, the funds could be used in conjunction with hunter access funds to complete most projects.
- d) **Does the need for this state special revenue provision still exist? ☒ Yes ☐ No (Explain)**  
In order to provide appropriate accountability to users, a separate special revenue fund is needed.
- e) **Does the dedicated revenue affect the legislature's ability to scrutinize budgets, control expenditures, or establish priorities for state spending? (Please Explain)**  
No, currently all other department revenue is recorded in state special revenue accounts and the legislature has been able to successfully scrutinize their budgets, control their expenditures and establish priorities for the department.
- f) **Does the dedicated revenue fulfill a continuing, legislatively recognized need? (Please Explain)**  
As evidenced by the hunting access program, improved hunting access has long been an issue with both resident and non-resident hunters. This program promises to provide additional opportunities for all hunters.
- g) **How does the dedicated revenue provision result in accounting/auditing efficiencies or inefficiencies in your agency? (Please Explain. Also, if the program/activity were general funded, could you adequately account for the program/activity?)**  
Auditing efficiencies include a clear audit trail to support the non-diversion clause of state and federal statutes. It also provides appropriate and efficient accountability to our users.